



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                       |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">British Journal of Mathematics &amp; Computer Science</a> |
| Manuscript Number:       | 2013_BJMCS_7883                                                       |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Vaccination Dynamics of Chickenpox in Agona West Municipality, Ghana  |
| Type of the Article      |                                                                       |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                            | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments | <p>Writing style not consistent. See how the authors cited the references. Refer to my comments on your manuscript.</p> <p>Combine the conclusion and future research. Make it simple and precise.</p> <p>Mistake in numbering of the figures, and all figures not mention in the article.</p> <p>Use equation editor for all equations and variables.</p> | all commented comments has been accepted in good faith and corrected accordingly                                                                                              |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments    | Can accept after revision                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Thank you for your comment                                                                                                                                                    |